

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324532353>

# Performance Assessment of Molecular and Microscopy tests for detection of *Trypanosoma* species in *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* (Diptera:Glossinidae) midguts in Kajokeji County South S...

Article · April 2015

CITATION

1

READS

43

6 authors, including:



**Yatta Samuel Lukou**

University of Juba, South Sudan, Juba

28 PUBLICATIONS 63 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Erneo Ochi**

University of Juba South Sudan

54 PUBLICATIONS 115 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Mubarak Abdelrahman**

Trop. Med. Res. Inst.

14 PUBLICATIONS 27 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Intisar Elrayah**

College of Applied Medical Sciences, Shaqra University, KSA

77 PUBLICATIONS 369 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



## ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

**PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT OF MOLECULAR AND MICROSCOPY TESTS FOR DETECTION OF *TRYPANOSOMA* SPECIES IN *GLOSSINA FUSCIPES FUSCIPES* (DIPTERA: GLOSSINIDAE) MIDGUTS IN KAJO-KEJI COUNTY, SOUTH SUDAN**Yatta S Lukaw<sup>1</sup>, Mubarak M Abdelrahman<sup>2</sup>, Yassir O Mohammed<sup>3</sup>, Erneo B Ochi<sup>4\*</sup>, Yousif R Sulaiman<sup>5</sup> and Intisar E El-Rayah<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>University of Juba, College of Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, P.O. Box 82 Juba, South Sudan<sup>2</sup>Tropical Medicine Research Institute (TMRI), P.O. Box 1304, Khartoum, Sudan<sup>3</sup>Veterinary Research Institute (VRI), P.O. Box 8067, Khartoum, Sudan<sup>4</sup>Directorate of Research and Development, MLFI, P.O. Box 126, Juba, South Sudan<sup>5</sup>University of Bahri, P.O. Box 1660, Khartoum North, Sudan

Received for publication: April 11, 2015; Accepted: April 24, 2015

**Abstract:** Tsetse flies and Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT) pose a threat to human health in Kajo-keji County South Sudan. A 6-month study was conducted to assess the performance of molecular and microscopy diagnostic tests to detect trypanosome parasites in tsetse flies, *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*. Tsetse field surveys were carried out during the wet season. 750 wild tsetse flies dissected and midguts were tested microscopically. Genomic DNA of *Trypanosoma* species was extracted from the guts. Four molecular PCR-and LAMP-based tests were performed. Microscopy method revealed infection rate of 12.0% while the molecular diagnostic tests revealed sensitivity of 15%, 40%, 37.5% and 5% using TBR-PCR, ITS-PCR, RIME-LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP, respectively. The specificity of TBR-PCR, ITS-PCR, RIME-LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP tests revealed 100%, 34%, 35% and 31%, respectively. These 4 molecular tests have revealed highly significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) diagnostic results. ITS-PCR (40%) and TBR-PCR (100%) were the most sensitive and specific tests. The PCR and LAMP based assays are yet imperative for rapid and accurate detection of *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense* in wild tsetse midguts. Molecular approach is robust and promising and could substantiate microscopy methods in remote rural inaccessible tsetse infested areas. Strategic area wide integrated vector control is needed for the control of HAT in the endemic foci of Kajokeji County South Sudan.

**Key words:** Assessment, Diagnostics, trypanosomes, tsetse flies, South Sudan

## INTRODUCTION

Tsetse flies are the vectors of salivarian section pathogenic mammalian trypanosome parasites which cause Human African Trypanosomiasis (HAT) or sleeping sickness in humans and Nagana disease in cattle (Hu and Askoy, 2006). Seven species and subspecies of tsetse flies identified in the then Sudan including *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* in South Sudan (Rogers and Robinson, 2004), where *G. f. fuscipes* flies are the sole vectors of the Gambian form of HAT in Central Equatoria State (Mohammed *et al.*, 2008). HAT has resurgent for the last 20 years due to social and economic related activities in Ibba and Maridi Counties, Western Equatoria State, South Sudan (Lukaw and Ochi, 2012).

Detection of trypanosome parasites in tsetse fly infected proboscis, midguts and salivary glands using microscopical methods were laborious, time consuming and sometimes inaccurate (Malele *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, it depends on trypanosomes density in the tsetse fly infected organs or parasitaemia in the human blood, lymph and cerebro-spinal fluid and the test potency. Hence, PCR- and LAMP-based tests are imperative for surveillance and monitoring trypanosomiasis and they could be used to identify infections non-detected by microscopical examination (Morlais *et al.*, 1998) and differentiate trypanosomes which are morphologically indistinguishable but with a very different economic impact (Duvallet *et al.*, 1999).

Recently, PCR-based techniques show that serum resistance associated (SRA) gene is specific for *T. brucei rhodesiense* (Mohammed *et al.*, 2008) or the *T. b. gambiense* surface glycoprotein (TgSGP) that is specific for that subspecies (Berberof *et al.*, 2001 Radwanska *et al.*, 2002). The proficiency to identify different trypanosome parasites in tsetse flies is of a paramount importance in the control of HAT in areas of high tsetse infestations including Kajokeji County. Hence, the recent development and application of Lateral flow test in endemic countries have created valuable analytical diagnostic milestone (Enyaru *et al.*, 2014).

The purpose of this paper was to assess the performance of PCR-based diagnostic tests (ITS-PCR, TBR-PCR, Pan Tryp LAMP and RIME-LAMP) versus microscopy method in detecting *Trypanosoma* species in wild tsetse flies in Kajo-keji County, South Sudan.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Area

The study was conducted in KKC, South Sudan during the wet season which commences in March and ends in November. KKC County lies at geographical latitudes 3.67203 to 4.13238 °N and longitudes 31.1004 to 31.8172 °E. The annual rainfall ranges between 1200 and 2000 mm for over 8 months from March to November. The vegetation covers include different

### \*Corresponding Author:

Dr. Erneo B Ochi Yamba-yamba,  
Director, Research and Development,  
MLFI, PATTEC National Coordinator,  
South Sudan.



grass species and gallery forests which constitute appropriate habitats for the survival of tsetse flies.

### Study Design and Sampling Techniques

Tsetse field surveys were conducted and sampling was made once every week from 8:00 a.m to 4:00 p.m hrs for six months during the wet season. The sample size of tsetse was determined by 95% confidence interval at a desired level of 5% (Thrusfield, 1995) and the stratified random sampling method was used for monitoring the prevalence of tsetse and assessing species diversity and distribution. Unbaited biconical traps were deployed (Challier and Larviessier, 1973) in seven sites along the banks of two streams 150 m apart and 10m distant from each. GPS device was used for recording coordinates and elevations of each trap. Total fly catches were stored in cool boxes, identified, sorted into males and females and counted (Brunhes et al., 1998).

### Microscopy Method

750 live flies were dissected to check for trypanosomes in the midguts as described in Msangi et al (1998). Infection rate (IR%) was determined as 100 (Number of the infected flies / Total number of dissected flies). 80 infected and non-infected midguts with trypanosome species, 40 each were randomly taken and added separately into an Eppendorf tube containing 300µl phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and stored at -20°C for genomic DNA extraction.

### Genomic DNA extraction

Each midgut was homogenized by a sterile pestle in Eppendorf tube and the trypanosome parasite DNA was extracted using the Qiagen kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. The DNA was eluted in 50µl of Tris-EDTA (TE) and stored at -20°C for molecular tests.

### Molecular tests

**TBR-PCR and ITS-PCR:** Both PCR tests were performed in a DNA thermal cycler. TBR-PCR test used two oligonucleotide primers specific to *T. brucei* subspecies which allow the amplification of the TBR repeat (284bp) as described by Masiga et al (1992). The sequences of these primers are: TBR1F: 5'-CGAATGAATATTAACAATGCGCAG -3'(25-mer); TBR1R: 5'-AGAACCATT TATTAGCTTTGTTGC -3' (24-mer). Each amplification reaction was made in a final volume of 25µl containing 10 mM Tris HCl pH 9.2, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 75 mM KCl, 1.25 mM of each dNTP, 12.5pmol of each oligonucleotide primer for TBR-PCR and 1 U of Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase. TBR-PCR was programmed at 98°C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 30 sec at 98°C, 30 sec at 62°C, 2 min at 72°C and a final cycle of 7 min at 72°C. After PCR operation, 10µl of each sample was run on an ethidium bromide-stained-2%

Agarose gel. Similarly, ITS-PCR test used two oligonucleotide primers of the sequences: ITSBR 5'-TTG CTG CGT TCT TCA ACG AA-3' (20 mer) and ITSCF 5'-CCG GAA GTT CAC CGA TAT TG-3' (20mer) for amplification of ITS<sub>1</sub> (Njiru et al., 2005). Each amplification reaction was made in a final volume of 25µl containing 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.2, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 75 mM KCl, 1.25 mM of each dNTP, 100pmol of each oligonucleotide primer for ITS-PCR and 1U of Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase and 2µl DNA template. ITS-PCR was programmed at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 60°C, 1 min at 72°C and a final cycle of 5 min at 72°C. After PCR operation, 10µl of each sample was run on an ethidium bromide- stained- 2% Agarose gel.

**RIME LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP:** The nucleic acid extracts were analyzed using RIME LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP. The 25µl LAMP reactions were standardized for optimal reagent concentration. The reactions were carried out at 2µM for FIP and BIP primers, 0.8µM for loop primer (LF and LB), 0.2 µM for F<sub>3</sub> and B<sub>3</sub> outer primers, master mix and 8U of Bst DNA polymerase large fragment (New England Biolabs). The reactions were carried out at 62°C for 1 h using the DNA thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, CT, USA) and terminated at 80°C for 5 min. The amplification products were detected by direct visual inspection of the LAMP product after addition of 1µl of 1/10 dilution of SYBR Green I (Invitrogen) and ethidium bromide-stained-Agarose gels. Nucleic acids extracted from an in-vitro culture of procyclic *T. b. gambiense* were used as a positive control. Ultra-pure water and midgut extract of non-infected laboratory tsetse were used as negative controls. Visibility of green colour indicates positive result (Njiru et al., 2010).

### Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Data was managed and statistically analyzed by Chi square ( $\chi^2$ ) statistics using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 20 software compatible to windows. All tables were made using Microsoft Excel 2010.

## RESULTS

A total catches of 984 *G. f. fuscipes* identified in four Payams of KKC, of which 750 dissected flies showed 12.0% total infection rate, 60% infected male and 40 % infected female flies and 9.15% prevalence rate (table 1). TBR-PCR and ITS-PCR showed infection rate of 15% and 40%, respectively. While, RIME-LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP showed IR% of 37.5% and 5%, respectively (table 2). TBR-PCR and ITS-PCR in the 40 negative tsetse midgut samples showed IR% of 0.0% and 15%, respectively. RIME-LAMP and Pan Tryp LAMP IR% of 12.5% and 22.5%, respectively (table 3). Hence, the

results of diagnostic Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive Predictive Value (PPV) and Negative Predictive Value (NPV) using PCR- and LAMP based diagnostic tests are shown in table (4). ITS-PCR and TBR-PCR showed the highest sensitivity (40%) and specificity (100%),

respectively. Moreover, TBR-PCR showed the highest PPV (100%) and Pan Tryp LAMP had the least NPP of 44.9%.

**Table 1:** Parasitological results showing the infection rate of Trypanosomes in the wild tsetse midguts in Kajo-keji County, South Sudan.

| Location (Payam) | Tsetse flies identified | Fly caches | Dissected flies | Infected flies | Infected |          | Infection rate (IR%) | Prevalence rate |
|------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------------------|-----------------|
|                  |                         |            |                 |                | Female   | Male     |                      |                 |
| Lire             | <i>G.f.fuscipes</i>     | 176        | 150             | 00             | 00       | 00       | 00                   | 00              |
| Kangapo I        |                         | 128        | 114             | 00             | 00       | 00       | 00                   | 00              |
| Kangapo II       |                         | 567        | 403             | 65             | 25       | 40       | 16.13                | 11.46           |
| Liwolo           |                         | 113        | 93              | 25             | 11       | 14       | 26.88                | 22.12           |
| Total            |                         | 984        | 750             | 90             | 36 (40%) | 54 (60%) | 12.00                | 9.15            |

**Table 2:** Percentage from positive wild tsetse midgut samples (40+) from KajoKeji County South Sudan

| Disease Form (HAT)   | No. of Tsetse midguts | No. of pools positive (%) by each test |          |            |               |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--|----------|------------|---------------|
|                      |                       | TBR-PCR                                | ITS-PCR  | RIME-LAMP  | Pan Tryp LAMP |
| <i>T.b.gambiense</i> | 40+                   | 6 (15%)                                | 16 (40%) | 15 (37.5%) | 2 (5.0%)      |

**Table 3:** Percentage from negative tsetse midgut samples (40-) from KajoKeji County, South Sudan

| Disease Form (HAT)   | No. of Tsetse midguts | No. of pools negative (%) by each test |          |           |               |
|----------------------|-----------------------|--|----------|-----------|---------------|
|                      |                       | TBR-PCR                                | ITS-PCR  | RIME-LAMP | Pan Tryp LAMP |
| <i>T.b.gambiense</i> | 40-                   | 0.00+(100.0)                           | 6+(12.5) | 5+(12.5)  | 9+(22.5)      |

**Table 4:** Results of the Diagnostic Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive Predictive Value and Negative Predictive Value using PCR-based diagnostic tests

| Test          | Sensitivity% | Specificity% | PPV% | NPV% | P-value<0.0001 |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|----------------|
| ITS-PCR       | 40           | 85           | 72.7 | 58.6 | P<0.0014       |
| TBR-PCR       | 15           | 100          | 100  | 54.1 | P<0.0054       |
| RIME-LAMP     | 37.5         | 90           | 78.9 | 59   | P<0.0086       |
| Pan Tryp LAMP | 5            | 77.5         | 18.2 | 44.9 | P<0.000        |

## DISCUSSION

In this study both male and female tsetse were infected with trypanosomes at IR of 12.0%. However, the high tsetse catches of infected male flies might be explained and justified by the fact that male flies have a tendency to respond to stationary objects and traps (Okoh et al., 2011). This is in line with the earlier reports where male flies (*G. m. morsitans*, *G. pallidipes*, *G. f. fuscipes*) showed higher rates of infection with *T. brucei* than females (Mauldin et al., 1991 Dale et al., 1995).

Given the imperfections of currently available diagnostics for trypanosomosis, particularly with regard to the invasive and insensitive nature of parasitological diagnosis at patient level, and the often critical need to undertake cost-effective mapping, there is a need to develop new approach to overcome the shortcomings of diagnostics. Detection of trypanosome DNA in wild-caught tsetse flies as surveillance tests to indicate areas with high tsetse

infection rates with pathogenic trypanosomes for immediate deployment of control measures is imperative for key stakeholders. LAMP DNA detection method proved to be simpler and more sensitive than microscopy and TBR- and ITS-PCRs. This has strengthened the preliminary laboratory evaluation of the LAMP method, based on adding known numbers (1 infected + 4 non-infected) midguts of laboratory-reared tsetse. Furthermore, the sensitivity of the test is high to detect trypanosomes DNA in all pools up to 10 midguts per pool which were spiked with procyclic trypanosomes in 10-fold serial dilutions of  $10^6$  to  $10^{-6}$ /ml (Malele et al., 2013). Thus, LAMP shows a superior sensitivity of detecting trypanosome DNA from midguts compared to TBR-PCR and ITS-PCR respectively which could be attributed to high copy numbers of RIME, reportedly 500copies/haploid genome (Bhattacharya et al., 2002) while ITS region is estimated at 100-200 copies (Desquesnes and Davila, 2002). Indeed, the LAMP detection method is more rapid taking 60 min compared to 150 min for PCR methods. Similarly, PCR is sequence specific, producing sharp and clear permanent bands in trypanosome-positive samples. More importantly, the LAMP detection method requires no expensive laboratory equipment, which might hamper surveillance and control efforts in trypanosomosis endemic countries. Data combination from the LAMP and PCR tests would be the simplest and practical means to measure trypanosome infection rates in tsetse.

## CONCLUSION

PCR- and LAMP-based technology is effective and efficient in identifying the *Trypanosome* species infecting tsetse. Xeno-monitoring for potential disease outbreak and the success of control programme in risky areas of animal and human trypanosomosis using RIME and Pan Tryp LAMP tests is promising. Hence, key stakeholders are urged to strategize area wide integrated pest management approach in the control

of tsetse and trypanosomiasis in Kajo-keji County South Sudan.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Global Health Programme of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) for funding this research project through Makerere University, Kampala Uganda. Dr. Zablon Njiru of the Australia Queensland University is indebted for procurement of diagnostic kits, reagents and consumables. Sincere thanks are due to TMRI-Khartoum for technical backstopping. Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries Industries, South Sudan is highly appreciated for managerial arrangements.

### REFERENCES

- Berberof M, Perez-Morga D and Pays E, A receptor-like flagellar pocket glycoprotein specific to *Trypanosoma brucei gambiense*, *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 2001, 113,127–138.
- Bhattacharya S, Barke A and Bhattacharya A, Mobile genetic elements in protozoan parasites, *Journal of Genetics*, 2002, 18, 73-86.
- Brunhes J, Cuisance D, Geoffroy B, Hervy JP and Lebbe J, Les glossines ou mouches tsétsé, Logiciel d'identification, *Glossine Expert*, Manuel illustré d'utilisation, Cirard, Université Paris VI, ORSTOM, Paris,1998.
- Challier A and Larveissiere C, Un nouveau piège pour la capture des Glossines (Glossina: Diptera, Muscidae) description et essaissur le terrain, Cah. ORSTOM, Ser, Entomology and Medical Parasitology, 1973, 11,251-262.
- Dale C, Welburn SC, Maudlin I and Milligan PJM, The kinetics of maturation of trypanosome infections in tsetse, *Parasitology*, 1995,111 (02), 187-191.
- Desquesnes M and Davila AMR, Applications of PCR-based tools for detection and identification of animal trypanosomes: a review and perspectives, *Veterinary Parasitology*, 2002, 109, (03–04), 213–231.
- Duvallet G, de La Rocque S, Reifenberg JM, Solano P, Lefrançois T, Michel JF, Bengaly Z, Sidibe I, Cuisance D and Cuny G, Review on the Molecular Tools for the Understanding of the Epidemiology of Animal Trypanosomiasis in West Africa, *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro*, 1999, 94 (02), 245-248.
- Enyaru CK John, Njuguna James, Alibu Vincent Pius, Matovu Enock, Malele I Imna, Chisi E John, Mbongo Nicolas, Mansinsa Philemon, Intisar El-Rayah, Mohammed Yassir, Mubarak Mustafa, Ochi Erneo and Nantulya Vinand, Development and Evaluation of Lateral Flow Test for the Detection of Trypanosomes in Tsetse flies, *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*, 2014, 6(12), 181-188.
- Hu C and Askoy S, Innate immune responses regulate trypanosome parasite infection of the tsetse fly *Glossina morsitans morsitans*, *Molecular Microbiology*, 2006, 60, 1194-1204.
- Malele I, Ouma J, Enyaru JCK, Matovu E, Alibu V, Auma JE, Onyoyo SG, Mukiria PW, Ndungu K, Kitonga PK, Mwaniki LM, Nyingili HS, Lyarau EA, Kapange LA, Kamau PK and Masiga DK, Comparative diagnostic and analytical performance of PCR and LAMP- based trypanosome detection methods estimated using pooled whole tsetse flies midguts, *Veterinary Parasitology*, 2013, 197,549-556.
- LUKAW YS and Ochi EB, Risk-related Activities and Control Problems of Human African Trypanosomiasis in Western Equatoria State, South Sudan, *Report and Opinion*, 2012, 4(10), 37-43.
- Maudlin I, Transmission of African trypanosomiasis: interactions among tsetse immune systems, symbionts and parasites, *Advances in Diseases and Vector Research*, 1991, 7, 117-148.
- Mohammed YO, Mohammed-Ahmed MM, Malik KH and Rayah IE, Distribution pattern of *Glossina fuscipes fuscipes* in Bahr el Jebel State, southern Sudan, *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 2008, 27, 210-215.
- Morlais I, Grebaut P, Bodo JM, Djoha S, Cuny G and Herder S, Detection and identification of trypanosomes by polymerase chain reaction in wild tsetse flies in Cameroon, *Acta Tropica*, 1998, 70, 109–117.
- Msangi AR, Whitaker CJ and Lehanes MJ, Factors influencing the prevalence of trypanosome infection of *Glossina pallidipes* on Ruvu flood plain of Eastern Tanzania, *Acta Tropica*, 1998, 70,143-155.
- Njiru ZK, Ouma JO, Enyaru JC and Dargantes AP, Loop-mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) test for detection of *Trypanosoma evansi* strain B, *Experimental Parasitology*, 2010, 125 (03), 196-201.

17. Njiru ZK, Constantine CC, Guya S, Crowther J, Kiragu JM, Thompson RCA and Davilla A, The use of ITS1 rDNA PCR in detecting pathogenic African trypanosomes, *Parasitology Research*, 2005, 95, 186-192.
18. Radwanska M, Chamekh M, Vanhamme L, Claes F, Magez S, Magnus E, de Baetselier P, Buscher P and Pays E, The serum resistance-associated gene as a diagnostic tool for the detection of *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense*, *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 2002, 67, 684-690.
19. Rogers DJ and Robinson TP, Tsetse Distribution. *The Trypanosomes*, Maudlin, PH Holmes and MA Miles, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, U.K, 2004, 139-179.
20. Thrusfield M, *Veterinary Epidemiology*, 2nd Ed. Edinburgh, Black well science Ltd., UK, 1995, 182-198.

**CITE THIS ARTICLE AS:**

Yatta S Lukaw, Mubarak M Abdelrahman, Yassir O Mohammed, Erneo B Ochi, Yousif R Sulaiman and Intisar E El-Rayah, Performance Assessment Of Molecular and Microscopy Tests For Detection Of *Trypanosoma* Species In *Glossina Fuscipes Fuscipes* (Diptera: Glossinidae) Midguts In Kajo-Keji County, South Sudan, *International Journal of Bioassays*, 2015, 4 (05), 3910-3914.

**Source of support:** Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF)

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest