### Please cite the Published Version

Demaya, GS, Benansio, JS, Petrozzi, F, Di Vittorio, M, Dendi, D, Fa, JE and Luiselli, L (2020) Habitat use and spatial niche overlap of sympatric savannah tortoises at multiple spatial scales in South Sudan. Journal of Arid Environments, 183. ISSN 0140-1963

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2020.104287

Publisher: Elsevier

**Version:** Accepted Version

Downloaded from: https://e-space.mmu.ac.uk/626538/

Usage rights: Creative Commons: Attribution-Noncommercial-No Deriva-

tive Works 4.0

**Additional Information:** This is an Author Accepted Manuscript of a paper accepted for publication in Journal of Arid Environments, published by and copyright Elsevier.

# **Enquiries:**

If you have questions about this document, contact openresearch@mmu.ac.uk. Please include the URL of the record in e-space. If you believe that your, or a third party's rights have been compromised through this document please see our Take Down policy (available from https://www.mmu.ac.uk/library/using-the-library/policies-and-guidelines)

# 1 Habitat use and spatial niche overlap of sympatric savannah tortoises at

2 multiple spatial scales in South Sudan

- 4 Gift Simon Demaya<sup>a</sup>, John Sebit Benansio<sup>a</sup>, Fabio Petrozzi<sup>b</sup>, Massimiliano Di Vittorio<sup>c</sup>, Daniele
- 5 Dendi<sup>f,g,h</sup>, John E. Fa<sup>d,e</sup>, and Luca Luiselli<sup>f,g,h</sup>
- 7 aDepartment of Wildlife, University of Juba, South Sudan; Emails: qftsimon@yahoo.co.uk;
- 8 sebitbenansio@yahoo.co.uk

3

6

- 9 bEcolobby, via E. Jenner 70, 00151 Rome, Italy. Email: fapetrozzi@gmail.com
- 10 °Ecologia Applicata Italia s.r.l., Termini Imerese (PA), Italy. Email: divittoriomassimiliano@gmail.com
- <sup>d</sup>Division of Biology and Conservation Ecology, School of Science and the Environment, Manchester
- 12 Metropolitan University, Manchester M1 5GD, UK
- 13 \*Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), CIFOR Headquarters, Bogor 16115, Indonesia.
- 14 E-mail: jfa949@gmail.com
- 15 <sup>f</sup>University of Lome, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Zoology, BP: 6057 Lome Togo.
- 16 <sup>g</sup>Department of Applied and Environmental Biology, Rivers State University of Science and
- 17 Technology, P.M.B. 5080 Nkpolu, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. Emails: I.luiselli@ideccngo.org
- 18 hIDECC Institute for Development, Ecology, Conservation and Cooperation, via G. Tomasi di
- 19 Lampedusa 33, I-00144 Rome, Italy.

#### **ABSTRACT**

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Tortoise ecology is poorly studied in East Africa. Here, using two terrestrial Testudinidae (Stigmochelys pardalis and Kinixys belliana) as study models, we (i) present basic demographic characteristics (sex-ratio, and density), (ii) describe correlates of their presence at two spatial scales (micro-habitat and macro-habitat), (iii) evaluate the effects of rainfall on their seasonal activity patterns, and (iv) analyze abundance patterns in relation to macro- and micro-habitat characteristics. We also describe an experiment, using tortoise shells, that can allow to control, and eventually correct, the reliability of observed data by taking into account the detectability of the study species in the wild. On the basis of a suite of statistical analysis and GIS-based elaborations, we confirmed, and further uncovered, the remarkable ecological differences existing between S. pardalis and K. belliana. The habitat use was different interspecifically, with K. belliana being much more linked to dense vegetation spots, often nearby waterbodies, whereas S. pardalis being an habitat generalist, at both micro- and macrohabitat scale. Nonetheless, juveniles of both species were observed in areas with significantly higher % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm than adults of both sexes. This different habitat selection is hypothesized to be due to antipredatory reasons. Overall, our data suggests that interspecific competition should be minimal between these species.

Keywords: Tortoises; Habitat selection; Ecology; East Africa

### 1. Introduction

Worldwide, there are currently approximately 360 recognized species of extant and recently extinct turtles and tortoises (Order Testudines), a much lower number than that in any other vertebrate group of similar body size (Luiselli, 2006a). Given the low species richness of terrestrial chelonians typically found in any locality, in most assemblages there are from 2 to 4 sympatric taxa (Iverson, 1992). In Africa, most regions contain just 1 or 2 sympatric species (e.g., Hailey & Coulson,

1995), however, in other localities (i.e., South and West Africa) up to 4 sympatric species can be found (Iverson, 1992; Luiselli, 2003).

Natural assemblages of tortoises are considered not to exhibit interspecific competition, with species-specific eco-physiological characteristics being more important (Luiselli, 2006a). This characteristic of the group may be related to the very low number of sympatric species, coupled with the typical life-history characteristics of chelonians: long-life span, delayed maturity, generalist omnivorous or herbivorous habits (Luiselli, 2006a). Nonetheless, because the available information on tropical terrestrial chelonians is scarce, it is difficult to understand patterns of coexistence. One of the main reasons for this lack of data is the elusive behaviour of free-ranging tortoises in hot tropical climates. As a result, it is often difficult to determine whether observed patterns are affected by small sample sizes, are exacerbated by the complicated landscape in which the species occur, or by the effect of interspecific differences in elusiveness and therefore detectability (de Solla et al., 2005; Mazerolle et al., 2005; Watkins et al., 2010).

In Africa, coexistence studies of tortoise species have been undertaken in savannahs of Zimbabwe (e.g., Hailey & Coulson, 1995; Coulson & Hailey, 2001), in West African Sahel (Petrozzi et al., 2020), and in West African Guinean forests (Luiselli, 2003, 2006b; Luiselli et al., 2008), but only anecdotal observations are available from the other regions of the continent (Branch, 2008). In this paper, we investigated the coexistence of two savannah tortoises (family: Testudinidae) in South Sudan (East Africa): the leopard tortoise (*Stigmochelys pardalis*) and Bell's hinge-back tortoise (*Kinixys belliana*) (Figure 1). Here, we (1) present the basic demographic characteristics (sex-ratio, and density) of these two species, (2) describe correlates of their presence at two spatial scales (micro-habitat and macro-habitat), (3) the effects of rainfall on their seasonal activity patterns, and (4) analyze abundance patterns in relation to macro- and micro-habitat characteristics. We also describe an experiment, using tortoise shells, that can be easily replicated in other tortoise studies,

that may allow ecologists to control, and eventually correct, the reliability of observed data by taking into account the detectability of the study species in the wild.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study area

Data was collected at several sites in the Republic of South Sudan, a landlocked country in East-Central Africa. Most surveys were performed in the South Sudan Equatoria Region (including Central Equatoria and the Eastern Equatoria States), especially within and in the surroundings of the Bandingilo National Park. This protected area, near the White Nile River, encompasses aproximately 8,400 km² and is 40 km east of the main town of Mangalla in Jubek State. Most of the area is flat with occasional isolated large hills, and contains numerous rivers and lakes. Due to the low-lying land and predominantly clay-based soils, the park is prone to flooding during the rainy season. To the east, the soil is well drained sand looms, while black cotton soil occurs in the lower land, andis often waterlogged.

The climate of the region is tropical, with a wet season in April – October (with an average of 100 mm rainfall per month) and a dry season between November – March (5-35 mm per month); during the dry season the hottest maximum temperature of 38°C is recorded in February. The typical vegetation is savannah, with small patches of dry forest and bushlands. The dominant trees in the park include *Acacia seyal*, *Afzelia quanzensis*, *Balanites* spp., *Celtis* spp., *Calotropis* procera, *Combretum* spp., *Cassia* spp., *Dichrostachytus cinera*, *Erythrina* spp., *Euphorbia ingens*, *Euphorbia candelabra*, *Ficus* spp., *Kigerlia africana/aethiopica*, *Virtex doniana*, *Ziziphus* spp., *Tamarindas indica*, and *Azadirachta indica*. The area also contains swamp meadows of dense low stoloniferous grasses such as antelope grass (*Echinochloa pyramidalis*) interspersed with patches of taller clump-forming grasses, as well as an understory of Jaragua grass (*Hyparrhenia rufa*). . The

park provides very fertile grazing lands for cattle as they are abundant and widespread across the area and they represent a source of food, a form of currency and a symbol of social status for the local inhabitants of this area (the Mundari, Pari and Bari tribes).

### 2.2 Data collection

2.2.1 Tortoise detection experiment

This experiment was performed during July – August (wet season) and October – November (dry season) of 2019. A total of 14 *S. pardalis* shells and 16 *K. belliana* shells were randomly placed in open spaces or hidden under bushes (simulating the "normal" habits of the study species) along 300 m line transects (n = 3) in natural savannah habitats. Transects were laid out in three cover types of vegetation taller than 2 m: 1) 0-30%; 2) 31-60%; 3) >60% (Figure 2). Three experienced observers in tortoise field research (who were unaware of the location ofthe tortoise shells) were asked to walk slowly along these transects and locate as many shells as possible. This procedure was repeated three times, changing the position of the shells on each occasion (during three separate days) for each transect and for each season. We estimated the mean percentage of observed shells (by season and by vegetation cover category, i.e. open space and under bushes).

# 2.2.2 Field surveys for free-ranging tortoises

We surveyed wild tortoises in the Equatoria State all year round from 2017 – 2019. We also used location data from additional records of tortoises from our unpublished research from 1989 onwards. A team of three researchers searched for tortoises from 0700 – 1800 (suspending searching during the hottest midday hours) within bush and grasslands, as well as in stony places and other potential tortoise habitats. Fieldwork was suspended during heavy rains. An average of 15 man-hours were spent in the field during each survey day. Field surveys consisted of slowly

walking along a single randomly selected, separate, line transects (300 m long) throughout the survey sites in the study region. We walked a total of 416 transects, encompassing 124,800 m. The researchers were about 30 m apart each another, and the same transects were not repeated during the study period.

During each field survey, we recorded all individuals seen of *S. pardalis* and *K. belliana* .. We recorded date and time of each sighting, logged its GPS location, measured the size (curved carapace length) of the tortoise using a rope, noted its sex, and shell notched it for individual identification.

To assess macro-habitat correlates of the presence/absence of the two study species, we used the location data for all tortoises found during our surveys and those in historical datasets collected since 1989. For each observed tortoise, we also recorded micro-habitat characteristics within a 200 m radius from the exact point of the first sighting of the individual tortoise (see also Petrozzi et al. 2020). For each observed tortoise, the following micro-habitats were measured in the field: (a) % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm; (b) % sandy (not rocky or compact) soil; (c) presence/absence of waterbodies (even if small and temporary); (d) presence/absence of cattle (and signs of their presence). For variables (a) and (b), percentages were visually estimated in ten randomly chosen 2 x 2m quadrats from which we calculated the median of all values.

For macro-habitat characteristics, we used remotely accessed data using a GIS platform. We created a shapefile for each species containing all records, from which we created a minimum convex polygon shape file. We also enlarged this polygon by creating a buffer zone of 10 km to avoid any edge effects (Petrozzi et al., 2019). Using the Random Points algorithm, we created a second shape file with random points (n =100 both for *S. pardalis* and for *K. belliana*) inside the increased minimum convex polygon area (Petrozzi et al., 2019). We then merged presence and random points shapefiles into a single file, using UTM 31 N coordinates. We created a new

shapefile (with coordinates WGS84) of buffer areas with 3-km radii around each presence and random point.

We extracted all information for the following variables within the buffer areas, for the combined shapefile including the presence records and the random buffer areas by using the zonal statistic tool:

- a. Tree cover raster (Hansen et al. 2013) representing the percentage of forest; it ranges between 0 and 100 per output grid cell;
- b. Land use raster (http://www.fao.org/geonetwork/srv/en/main.home) representing the characteristics of the land. All the categories of the variables are present in table S1;
- c. Rainfall (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations—United Nations

  Environment Programme 1984) representing the average annual rainfall, and is expressed in terms of millimetres (mm) per year;
- (https://cmr.earthdata.nasa.gov/search/concepts/C1214603932-SCIOPS) representing 11 different types of parks, reserves and other unique areas which had some degree of protected status;
  - e. temperature (Harvest Choice 2015 a) representing the air surface temperature in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  ;
- 158 f. vegetation map of Africa (White, 1983).

d. IUCN Protected Areas of Africa data set

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

- All rasters were resampled at the same cell. Additionally, we uploaded the following shapefiles:
- a. roads (Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN)/Columbia
   University, and Information Technology Outreach Services (ITOS)/University of Georgia 2013);

- b. rivers (http://worldmap.harvard.edu/data/geonode:Digital\_Chart\_of\_the\_World);
- c. lake (http://worldmap.harvard.edu/data/geonode:Digital Chart of the World);
- d. human settlement (Harvest Choice, 2015b); including settlement population estimate and we calculated the distances (in km) of all the above-mentioned layers from the presence and random points by using the 'QGIS NNJoin' plugin (Dharmawan, and Farda, 2017).

On the basis of the number of tortoise individuals, we calculated the kilometric index of abundance (KIA) (Maillard et al., 2001):

KIA = (number of individuals / numbers of kilometers covered).

In this formula, the number of kilometers covered represents the sum of the total distance of the transects.

### 2.3 Statistical analyses

We employed Generalized Linear Models (GLZ, Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000) to test the effect of season (dry vs wet season) and time of the day (morning vs evening) on the detectability of *S. pardalis* and *K. belliana* during the field experiment with shells. In every model, the season and the period of the day were used as dependent variables and the number of detected shells in plots with different vegetation cover (0-30%, 31-60%, 61-100%) as predictors. In all models, the logit link function and a binomial distribution of error were used (McCullagh & Nelder, 1989). We also ran a global GLZ model to test for the possible differences in detection of the two species.. In this global model we used the species, the season and the period of the day as dependent variables respectively, and the % of vegetation coverage as predictors. Also the joint effects between species, seasons and period of the day were analysed.

Generalized Linear Models (GLM, Hosmer & Lemeshow, 2000) were used to quantify the effects of habitat classes on the abundances of *K. belliana* and *S. pardalis* inside the study area. In the models, a best subset procedure was used to test the statistical significance of each variable. The abundance of species were used as a dependent variable and the number of contacts for each habitat types as predictors.

We standardized all variables to remove the effect of differences in the original scale of measurement. The standardization of values contains options to standardize all values of the selected variables used in the model. All values of selected variables are replaced by standardized values, which are computed as follows: Std score: (raw score – mean) /Std. Deviation using Statistica 6.0 software (www.statsoft.com). In all models, the identity link function and a normal distribution of error were used (McCullagh & Nelder, 1989).

We also performed a GLM to test the effect of months and macro-habitat on *S. pardalis* and *K. belliana* body size (male and female separately) using turtle body size as dependent variable and months and macro-habitat types as predictors. Pearson's correlation coefficient, between number of days per month and number of observed tortoises detected in each month, was used to assess the effects of rainfall on tortoises' above-ground activity patterns. In this case, due to the small sample sizes, we used pooled data of the two study species as a dependent variable.

We performed a logistic regression analysis to determine the effect of the various macro-habitat variables on the presence/absence of the two study species. The variables were entered as independent variables in the logistic regression analysis, with the presence/absence data for the tortoises as the dependent variable. Selection implied limited correlation between the environmental variables, with a Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.47 in our study cases. When the Spearman correlation coefficient is less than 0.8, this type of analysis is correct (Teixeira et al.

2001; Arntzen & Alexandrino 2004). We performed logistic regression analyses by SigmaPlot 14.0 version PC software.

One-way ANOVAs (followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc pairwise tests) were used for testing, in each of the study species, the statistical differences between sexes and among adults versus juveniles in terms of the four micro-habitat variables mentioned above. Interspecific differences in terms of % sandy soil of the sighting spots and in terms of % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm were evaluated by Student t-test. Contingency table  $\chi^2$  test was used to evaluate (i) sexratio of the observed individuals of the two species, (ii) interspecific frequencies of sightings spots with nearby water and (iii) interspecific frequencies of sightings spots with nearby cattle.

The spatial distribution of the various variables used for defining the ecological correlates of occurrence in the two study species are presented in the Supplemental Fig. S1 (S. P parallis) and S2 (S. P belliana). All statistical analyses were performed by the software SigmaPlot 14.0 version, with alpha set at 5%. In the text, the means are followed by  $\pm$  1 Standard Deviation.

# 3. Results

### 3.1 General data

We observed a total of 107 tortoises, 63 *S. pardalis* and 44 *K. belliana*. The KIA index for *S. pardalis* was 0.504 individuals  $\times$  km<sup>-1</sup> and 0.00035 individuals  $\times$  km<sup>-1</sup> for *K. belliana*. Using a boundary strip of 8 m on both sides of each transect, we calculated a density of 0.315 individuals/ ha for *S. pardalis* and 0.22 individuals/ ha for *K. belliana*. All presence localities and randomly generated points for the two study species in South Sudan are given in Figure 3. For *S. pardalis*, our sample size consisted of 20 males, 39 females and 4 juveniles; sex ratio was not skewed from equality ( $\chi^2 = 3.1$ , df = 1, P = 0.094). In the case of *K. belliana*, we recorded 23 males, 15 females and 5 juveniles. Adult sex-ratio was even ( $\chi^2 = 0.9$ , df = 1, P = 0.339).

### 3.2 Smaller-scale habitat use

3.2.1 Tortoise detection experiment

Overall, the results of the field experiment indicate that shells of *S. pardalis* were slightly easier to locate than those of *K. belliana* during the dry (respectively 73.8% versus 67.7% of the shells uncovered by surveyors) and wet season (respectively 65.5% versus 60.4%)(Table 1).

For *S. pardalis*, the GLZ results showed no effects of season or time of the day on the detectability of shells (Table 2). The plot with 0-30% of coverage was not included in the model because of no deviance. The GLZ results also showed no effects of season and time of the day on *K. belliana* shells detectability (Table 2). We found no significant effect of % vegetation coverage on the species' detection probabilities in the global GLZ model to test for the possible differences on the two species' detection (Table 3). Overall, since there were no statistical differences in the probability of detecting the two tortoise species in the field, despite their remarkable differences in coloration and size, we used the field data collected on the two species (see below) without statistical corrections.

### 3.3 Micro-habitat characteristics of the tortoise records

Kinixys belliana. The synopsis of the data is presented in Table 4. The various sex/age groups did not differ significantly in terms of % sandy soil of the sighting spots (one-way ANOVA.  $F_{2,40} = 0.45$ , P = 0.641), and in terms of % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm of the sighting spots (one-way ANOVA.  $F_{2,40} = 2.65$ , P = 0.08). Interestingly, although these tortoises clearly selected well vegetated spots (with the mean percent of high vegetation cover being 77% for males and 82% for females), the juveniles appeared to select only highly vegetated spots (97% being the average high vegetation cover of their spots of sightings; Table 4). Cattle were observed in 4.6% of the sighting spots, whereas water was frequently found nearby the sighting spots (58.1% of cases [n = 43]).

Stigmochelys pardalis. The synopsis of the data is presented in Table 4. There were significant differences among sexes/age classes in terms of % sandy soil of the sighting spots (one-way ANOVA.  $F_{2,60} = 3.66$ , P < 0.05), with juveniles being observed in spots with significantly higher % sandy soil than adult males (Tukey Honest significant post-hoc test: P = 0.013). There were also inter-group differences in terms of % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm of the sighting spots (one-way ANOVA.  $F_{2,60} = 10.21$ , P < 0.001), with juveniles being observed in spots with significantly higher % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm, than adults of both sexes (P < 0.001), with males and females being very similar instead (P = 0.858). Cattle were present in 6.3% of the sighting spots, and water was present in 9.5% of the sighting spots.

Interspecific differences. The two species did not differ in terms of % sandy soil of the sighting spots (t = 1.51, P = 0.135); however, % soil covered by vegetation taller than 200 cm of the sighting spots of *K. belliana* was significantly higher than that of *S. pardalis* (t = 8.53, P < 0.0001). In addition, the frequency of sightings spots with nearby water was significantly higher in *K. belliana* ( $\chi^2$  test, P < 0.001), whereas there was no interspecific difference (at  $\chi^2$  test) in terms of frequency of sightings spots with cattle presence (Figure 4).

# 3.4 Larger-scale habitat use

3.4.1 Macro-habitat effects on sympatric tortoise abundances

Kinixys belliana. The model showed that the abundance of this species increases with the increase of forested areas (estimate = 0.592; Wald = 2.068; p=0.0000) and savannah (estimate = 1.244; Wald = 9.121; p=0.0000).

Stigmochelys pardalis. The model showed that the abundance of this species increases with the increase of forest (estimate = 0.988; Wald = 5.450; p=0.0000) and decrease with shallow/inundated areas (estimate =-0.021; Wald = 2.938; p=0.0000).

275 3.4.2 Effects of months and macro-habitat on body size

Kinixys belliana. The model highlighted a positive relationship with months (estimate = 0.394; Wald
 = 5.216; p=0.00223) and body size for the females, and a positive effects of months (estimate =
 2.335; Wald = 18.132; p=0.00002) and macro-habitat (estimate = 1.920; Wald = 9.001; p=0.00269)
 for males.

Stigmochelys pardalis. Our model did not detect any effect of sex (estimate = 0.394, Wald = 0.194, P = 0.711), months (estimate = 0.820; Wald = 1.185; p=0.276) and macro-habitat types

(estimate = 0.472; Wald = 0.226; p=0.634) on body sizes of these tortoises.

# 3.5 Rainfall effects on sympatric tortoise records

The distribution of the number of observed tortoises across months is presented in Supplemental Figure S3. After pooling the data coming from the two study species, the highest numbers of individuals per month were found in July (n = 27) and August (n = 32). There was no sighting from November to February. Overall, there was a significant positive correlation between the monthly number of rainy days and the number of observed tortoise individuals (r = 0.636, n = 12, P < 0.05; Figure 5).

# 3.6 Correlates of large-scale occurrence of the two species

*Kinixys belliana*. The multiple logistic regression model (Likelihood ratio test statistic = 16.982, P = 0.005; -2 log (likelihood) = 76.094; Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic = 7.69, P = 0.464) resulted in two of the variables being statistically significant: tree density (positively correlated to the probability of presence of the species: coefficient = 0.0000154, standard error = 0.00000777, Wald = 3.948, P = 0.047) and distance from the nearest water-body (negatively correlated to the probability of presence of the species: coefficient = -0.000157, standard error = 0.0000869, Wald = 3.261, P =

0.041). All the other variables were not significant (at least P = 0.110). Thus, the logit equation was as follows:

Logit P = -1.858 - (0.000157 × distance from water-body) + (0.0000154 × tree density).

Stigmochelys pardalis. The multiple logistic regression model (Likelihood ratio test statistic = 13.739, P = 0.033; -2 log (likelihood) = 101.402; Hosmer-Lemeshow statistic = 11.114, P = 0,195) gave a different outcome compared to the previous species. Indeed, only one variable was statistically significant: distance from road (positively correlated to the probability of presence of the species: coefficient = 0.0000923, standard error = 0.0000407, Wald = 5.15, P = 0.023). All the other variables were not significant (at least P = 0.102). Thus, the logit equation for *S. pardalis* was as follows:

Logit  $P = -3.079 + (0.0000923 \times distance from the nearest road)$ .

#### 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Tortoise detection experiment

Our experiment with tortoise shells clearly revealed that, despite their very different shell sizes, the detectability of the two species was not remarkably different in the field (although of course the smaller species was slightly less detectable than the larger species independent of season and time of day). This result is important because it shows that, the data relative on the coexistence ecology of these two species in the South Sudan savannahs may have not been due to detectability issues. This may also be typical in other terrestrial tortoise assemblages though differences in the behavioural elusiveness and the relative population density of the various sympatric species may influence the outcomes of the field research. Capture and sighting frequency of terrestrial tortoises are affected by sampling effort, time of day, season, size of the species as well as habitat type (Coulson and Hailey, 2001; Kabigumila, 2001a, 2001b; Willemsen and Hailey, 2001).

Moreover, previous experiments with shell models along line transects suggest that a large percentage of geometric tortoises could not be found during a census, as no observer was able to detect more than 50% of the models (Gardner et al., 1999).

### 4.2 Tortoise abundance

Despite being considerably larger in body size (Hailey and Loveridge, 1998; Branch, 2008), the observed density of *S. pardalis* was far higher in our study area than that of *K. belliana*. For *K. belliana*, the observed density is consistent with data from other species of the same genus, *K. homeana*, *K. nogueyi*, *K. erosa*, and *K. spekii*, that ranged 0.15-2.85 individuals × ha<sup>-1</sup> (Coulson & Hailey, 2001; Luiselli, 2006). Density of *S. pardalis* was much higher in our study area than in South Africa's Nama-Karoo, where it was just 0.017 tortoises × ha<sup>-1</sup> (McMaster & Downs, 1996), but was less than that (0.85 individuals × ha<sup>-1</sup>) that was recorded in Addo National Park, South Africa (Vetter, 2005).

In both species, the population was skewed towards adults, thus indicating a low hatchling recruitment (although juvenile elusiveness should be considered in this regard). The same was also observed in other *S. pardalis* from South Africa (McMaster, 2013) and in other *Kinixys* species from Nigeria (Cayuela et al., 2019). Adult sex-ratio was even in our study populations, and the same was true for *S. pardalis* from both Tanzania and South Africa (Vetter, 2005; McMaster, 2013) and *Kinixys* species from West Africa (Segniagbeto et al., 2015).

# 4.3 Micro- and macro-habitat characteristics of the tortoise records

Despite the small sample size, and supported by our shell detection experiment, we can confidently argue that our macro- and micro-habitat data were not biased for the two tortoise species. Thus, we can conclude that the collected data are indicative of the true habitat use patterns of the two study species.

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

At the micro-habitat scale, the two species clearly exhibited different preferences: K. belliana selected spots with high vegetation cover and with waterbodies in their surroundings, whereas S. pardalis was more of a generalist, showing no special preference for open/close vegetation or for presence/absence of waterbodies. However, in both species, juveniles inhabited spots with denser high vegetation than adults. For K. belliana, there is little published data available on its microhabitat use patterns apart from anecdotal information (e.g. Branch, 2008). However, some data on habitat selection by the closely related West African Kinixys nogueyi have been published (Luiselli et al., 2000; Segniagbeto et al., 2015), and can be used for comparisons. These studies documented that most Kinixys noqueyi specimens were found in Guinea savannah, but also inhabited rainforest patches and hilly forests. Another closely-related species, Kinixys spekii, was found to be associated with the dense riverine woodland along the Kove river, Zimbabwe (Hailey and Coulson, 1995). Thus, the fact that the closely-related and ecologicallysimilar K. belliana can select highly vegetated sites, nearby water, in a otherwise dry savannah habitat, cannot be considered surprising. Also, at the larger spatial scale, the distribution of K. belliana was positively influenced by the tree density and negatively by increasing distance from the nearest water-body, thus exactly confirming the pattern highlighted by our smaller-scale dataset. Based on these evidences and the locationsy of moist forests and dense bushlands/gallery forests in the country, we would suggest that the distribution of this species in South Sudan is scattered, and especially concentrated in the southern regions of the country.

In the case of *S. pardalis*, our study revealed a generalist habitat strategy (at both a micro-and macro-scale), where basically only the need of minimizing human disturbance (expressed by the distance from roads and paths) matters.. In Zimbabwe, *S. pardalis* was found to inhabit open riverine and large areas of pure mopane (*Colophospermum mopane*) bush habitats (Hailey and Coulson, 1995) but was considered an habitat generalist elsewhere (Greig & Burdett, 1976; Rall,

1985; Douglas & Rall, 2006), inhabiting even commercial farmlands (Drabik-Hamshare, 2016). In Tanzania, it was found frequently in short grass and along roads (not consisting with our findings), but also inside bushes and in medium tall grass, whereas it was rarely seen in tall grass and in the surroundings of waterbodies (Kabigumila, 1998, 2001c). Weatherby (1996) reported that these tortoises prefer open grassy areas, occasionally venturing into thick thornbush. Thus, overall our data mirrors those presented in the above-mentioned earlier studies, confirming that this species is a savannah habitat generalist that usually avoid high vegetation cover (just about 28% of our adult sample was found in highly vegetated spots). Based on this evidence, we would suggest that the distribution of this species in South Sudan is probably wide and continuous, especially in the central and eastern regions of the country.

As mentioned above, the juveniles of both species consistently revealed a much greater preference for very closed vegetation spots compared to adults. This is unlikely to be an outcome of small sample size (typically juvenile tortoises are very elusive; see also low encounter rates in Berry & Turner, 1986, and in Cayuela et al., 2019), as locating small tortoises is certainly much more difficult in closed vegetation than in open vegetated places. Instead, we think that this pattern reflects antipredatory adaptations in habitat selection by small tortoises. Malan & Branch (1992) reported cases of predation on *S. pardalis* hatchlings by birds of prey. In a radiotracking study on juveniles of an herbivorous tortoise species (*Gopherus agassizii*) from an arid environment, locations were more likely to be under the cover of perennial plants, , and in a greater number of small-mammal burrows. (Todd et al., 2016). In addition, juveniles of an omnivorous species (*Terrapene carolina bauri*) from a wetter area also preferentially used microhabitats with dense vegetation (Jennings, 2007), thus our data are very consistent with the few available studies on microhabitat preferences of juvenile turtles and tortoises from elsewhere..

### 4.4 Effects of months and macro-habitat on body size

Our GLM analyses showed that the body size of adult *K. belliana* males is larger in capture sites with higher percentages of bush and tree cover; and, for both sexes, body size tends to be larger in different time periods (i.e. from March to October), no pattern emerged for *S. pardalis*. This is possibly the most complicated pattern to explain in our study, and only tentative interpretations can be provided. Concerning the pattern of large males found preferably in high vegetation spots, we would suggest that this is an antipredatory strategy. In other turtles and tortoises, large males tend to have larger home ranges than smaller males and females (e.g., Rose & Judd, 1975; Geffen & Mendelssohn, 1988; O'Connor et al., 1994) so it is possible that males prefer to utilize closed canopy sites to minimize the increased costs of traveling due to predation, as increased movement presents greater risk (Lima & Dill, 1990; Fahrig, 2007). It is also possible that the males are resting in the shade of the plants as a means of thermal cooling after traversing their large homerange, or possibly that larger tortoises are easier to visualize in vegetation.

### 4.5 Rainfall effects on sympatric tortoise records

Our data clearly revealed that the frequency of encounters with tortoises increases with the number of rainy days per month (a good proxy of the monthly rainfall). This is a general pattern with African tropical tortoises (Luiselli, 2003), and it has been already observed, for instance, in West African rainforest *Kinixys* species (e.g., Luiselli, 2003). In addition, in the dry savannahs of Swaziland, the above-ground activity of radiotracked *S. pardalis* was greater in the warm wet months compared with the cool, dry months (Monadjem et al., 2013). In another study in South Africa, there was a positive correlation between number of tortoises caught and rainfall per season, but activity levels and number of tortoises walking, and feeding was not correlated with seasonal rainfall (McMaster & Downs, 2013). There may be a phase of aestivation during the dry months in *S. pardalis* (Vetter, 2005), that cannot be excluded in our study populations (including *K. belliana*), given that no individual was observed between November and February. However,

further studies should confirm whether these tortoises really enter into prolonged estivation during the dry months in South Sudan. In general, we predict that the seasonal pattern observed in the two species at our study area will also be detected by additional studies on tropical tortoises throughout the world.

### Conclusions

Our study showed that a relatively simple experiment as that presented in this paper may help in understanding the potential for biases in data recording with tortoise populations for which a high number of captures/contacts is not feasible. Our study also confirmed, and further uncovered, the remarkable ecological differences existing between *S. pardalis* and *K. belliana*, and suggests that interspecific competition should be minimal between these species, the same as in most of the terrestrial chelonian assemblages studied to date (Luiselli, 2006a). We would suggest that more detailed studies should be made for exploring the eventual conservation implications that may arise in the altered savannah areas where these two species do coexist in East Africa.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study was supported by Mohamed Bin Zayed Species Conservation Fund (grant
no. 172515472 and 182518886), the Rainforest Trust, Turtle Conservation Fund (TCF–0687),
National Geographic (NGS-52320C-18), and Quarters for Conservation Award (all funds to L.L.).
AERD NGO (Juba) and the University of Juba were crucial for logistic assistance
during the field surveys in South Sudan. All tortoise individuals were captured and handled under
explicit authorization of the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of South Sudan.

### References

Arntzen, J.W. & Alexandrino J. (2004). Ecological modelling of genetically differentiated forms of
the Iberian endemic golden-striped salamander, *Chioglossa lusitanica*. *Herpetological*Journal, 14, 137–141.

439 Berry, K. H. & Turner, F. B. (1986). Spring activities and habits of juvenile desert tortoises, Gopherus 440 agassizii, in California. Copeia, 1986(4), 1010-1012. 441 Branch B. (2008). Tortoises, terrapins and turtles of Africa. Cape Town: New Holland Publishing. 442 Cayuela, H., Akani, G. C., Hema, E. M., Eniang, E. A., Amadi, N., Ajong, S. N., ... & Luiselli, L. (2019). Life history and age-dependent mortality processes in tropical reptiles. Biological Journal of 443 the Linnean Society, 128(2), 251-262. 444 Coulson, I. M. & Hailey, A. 2001. Low survival and high predation in the African Hingeback Tortoise 445 Kinixys spekii. African Journal of Ecology, 39, 383–392. 446 de Solla, S. R., Shirose, L. J., Fernie, K. J., Barrett, G. C., Brousseau, C. S., & Bishop, C. A. (2005). 447 448 Effect of sampling effort and species detectability on volunteer based anuran monitoring programs. Biological Conservation, 121(4), 585-594. 449 Douglas, R. M. & Rall, M. (2006). Seasonal shelter selection by leopard tortoises (Geochelone 450 451 pardalis) in the Franklin Nature Reserve, free state, South Africa. Chelonian Conservation 452 and Biology, 5(1), 121-129. Drabik-Hamshare, M. (2016). Movement, home range and habitat use in leopard tortoises 453 454 (Stigmochelys pardalis) on commercial farmland in the semi-arid Karoo Unpublished 455 Doctoral dissertation, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa. 456 Fahrig, L. (2007). Non-optimal animal movement in human altered landscapes. Functional Ecology, 21, 1003–1015. 457 Gardner, S., Baard, E. H. & Le Roux, N. J. (1999). Estimating the detection probability of the 458

geometric tortoise. South African Journal of Wildlife Research, 29(3), 62-71.

459

460	Geffen, E., & Mendelssohn, H. (1988). Home range use and seasonal movements of the Egyptian
461	tortoise (Testudo kleinmanni) in the northwestern Negev, Israel. Herpetologica, 44, 354-
462	359.
463	Greig, J. C. & Burdett, P. D. (1976). Patterns in the distribution of southern African terrestrial
464	tortoises (Cryptodira: Testudinidae). Zoologica Africana, 11, 249-273.
465	Hailey, A. & Coulson, I.M. (1995). Habitat association of the tortoises <i>Geochelone pardalis</i> and
466	Kinixys spekii in the Sengwa Wildlife research area, Zimbabwe. Herpetological Journal, 5,
467	305-309.
468	Halley, A. & Loveridge, J. P. (1998). Body temperatures of captive tortoises at high altitude in
469	Zimbabwe, with comments on the use of living models. Herpetological Journal, 8, 79-84.
470	Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., Thau, D.,
471	Stehman, S.V., et al. 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change
472	Science, 342, 850–853.
473	Harvest Choice (2015a). Long-term Annual Rainfall (mm, 1960-2014). International Food Policy
474	Research Institute, Washington, DC., and University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota.
475	Available online at http://harvestchoice.org/data/pre_mean.
476	Harvest Choice (2015b). Population, Total (2000). Washington DC: International Food Policy
477	Resarch Institute and University of Minnesota
478	Hosmer, D.W. & Lemeshow, S. (2000). <i>Applied logistic regression analysis</i> . 2nd ed. New York: John
479	Wiley and Sons.
480	Iverson, J.B. (1992c). Species richness maps of the freshwater and terrestrial turtles of the world.
481	Smithsonian Herp. Inf. Serv., 88: 1-18.

482	Jenness, J.; Dooley, J.; Aguilar-Manjarrez, J. & Riva, C. (2007). African Water Resource Database.
483	GIS-based tools for inland aquatic resource management. 1. Concepts and application case
484	studies. CIFA Technical Paper. No.33, Part 1. Rome, FAO. 167p.
485	Kabigumila, J. D. L. (1998). Aspects of the ecology and management of the tropical leopard
486	tortoise, Geochelone pardalis babcocki (Loveridge) in Northeastern Tanzania. Unpublished
487	Doctoral dissertation, University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
488	Kabigumila, J. (2001a). Size composition and sex ratio of the Leopard Tortoise (Geochelone
489	pardalis) in Northern Tanzania. African Journal of Ecology, 39, 393–395.
490	Kabigumila, J. (2001b). Sighting frequency and food habits of the Leopard Tortoise, Geochelone
491	pardalis, in Northern Tanzania. African Journal of Ecology, 39, 276–285.
492	Kabigumila, J. (2001c). Occurrence and activity budget of the leopard tortoise, Geochelone
493	pardalis, in northern Tanzania. Tanzania Journal of Science, 27(1), 87-99.
494	Jennings, A. H. (2007). Use of habitats and microenvironments by juvenile Florida box turtles,
495	Terrapene carolina bauri, on Egmont Key. Herpetologica, 63(1), 1-10.
496	Lima, S.L. & Dill, L.M. (1990). Behavioural decisions made under the risk of predation; a review
497	and prospectus. Canadian Journal of Zoology 68, 619–640.
498	Luiselli, L. (2003). Seasonal activity patterns and diet divergence of three sympatric Afrotropical
499	tortoise species (genus Kinixys). Contributions to Zoology, 72(4), 211-220.
500	Luiselli, L. (2006a). Resource partitioning in the communities of terrestrial turtles: a review of the
501	evidences. Revue d'écologie (Terre et Vie), 61, 353-365.

502	Luiselli, L. (2006b). Food niche overlap between sympatric potential competitors increases with
503	habitat alteration at different trophic levels in rain-forest reptiles (omnivorous tortoises and
504	carnivorous vipers). Journal of Tropical Ecology, 22(6), 695-704.
505	Luiselli, L., Angelici, F. M., Rugiero, L., Akani, G. C., Eniang, E. A., Pacini, N., & Politano, E. (2008).
506	Negative density dependence of sympatric Hinge-back Tortoises (Kinixys erosa and K.
507	homeana) in West Africa. Acta Herpetologica, 3(1), 19-33.
508	Luiselli, L., Politano, E., & Angelici, F. M. (2000). Ecological correlates of the distribution of
509	terrestrial and freshwater chelonians in the Niger Delta, Nigeria: a biodiversity assessment
510	with conservation implications. Revue d'écologie (Terre et Vie), 55, 3-23.
511	Maillard, D., Calenge, C., Jacobs, T., Gaillard, J.M. & Merlot, L. (2001). The Kilometric Index as a
512	monitoring tool for populations of large terrestrial animals: a feasibility test at Zakouma
513	National Park, Chad. African Journal of Ecology, 39, 306–309.
514	Malan, G., & Branch, W. R. (1992). Predation on tent tortoise and leopard tortoise hatchlings by
515	the pale chanting goshawk in the Little Karoo. African Zoology, 27(1), 33-35.
516	Mazerolle, M. J., Desrochers, A. & Rochefort, L. (2005). Landscape characteristics influence pond
517	occupancy by frogs after accounting for detectability. Ecological Applications, 15(3), 824-
518	834.
519	McCullagh, P. & Nelder, J.A. (1989). Generalized linear models. London, United Kingdom: Chapman
520	and Hall/CRC.
521	McMaster, M. K. (2013). The status and ecology of the leopard tortoise (Geochelone pardalis) on
522	farmland in the Nama-Karoo. Unpublished Master Thesis, of University of KwaZulu-Natal,
523	South Africa.

524	McMaster, M. K., & Downs, C. T. (2006). Population Structure and Density of Leopard Tortoises
525	(Geochelone pardalis) on Farmland in the Nama-Karoo. Journal of Herpetology, 40, 495-
526	502.
527	McMaster, M. K., & Downs, C. T. (2013). Seasonal and daily activity patterns of leopard tortoises
528	(Stigmochelys pardalis Bell, 1828) on farmland in the Nama-Karoo, South Africa. African
529	Zoology, 48(1), 72-83.
530	Monadjem, A., McCleery, R. A., & Collier, B. A. (2013). Activity and movement patterns of the
531	tortoise Stigmochelys pardalis in a subtropical savanna. Journal of Herpetology, 47(2), 237-
532	242.
533	O'Connor, M. P., Zimmerman, L. C., Ruby, D. E., Bulova, S. J., & Spotila, J. R. (1994). Home range size
534	and movements by desert tortoises, Gopherus agassizii, in the eastern Mojave Desert.
535	Herpetological Monographs, 1994, 60-71.
536	Petrozzi, F., Hema, E. M., Ségniagbeto, G. H., Amadi, N., Akani, G. C., Burke, R. L., Chirio L. & Luiselli,
537	L. (2019). Correlates of African Spurred Tortoise, Centrochelys sulcata, Occurrence in the
538	West African Sahel. Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 18(1), 19-23.
539	Petrozzi, F., Hema, E.M., Sirima, D., Segniagbeto, G.H., Akani, G.C., Eniang, E.A., Dendi, D., Fa, J.E., &
540	Luiselli, L (2020). Tortoise ecology in the West African savannah: multi-scale habitat
541	selection and activity patterns of a threatened giant species, and its ecological relationships
542	with a smaller-sized species. Acta Oecologica.
543	Rall, M. (1985). Ecological observations on a mountain tortoise population <i>Geochelone pardalis</i> as
544	recorded in the Soetdoring National Reserve in the Orange Free State, South Africa. (In
545	Afrikaans). <i>Koedoe</i> , 28, 47-60.

546	Rose, F. L., & Judd, F. W. (1975). Activity and nome range size of the fexas tortoise, Gopherus
547	berlandieri, in south Texas. Herpetologica, 31, 448-456.
548	Segniagbeto, G.H., Eniang, E. A., Petrozzi, F., Vignoli, L., Dendi, D., Akani, G. C., & Luiselli, L. (2015).
549	Aspects of the ecology of the tortoise Kinixys nogueyi (Lataste, 1886) in Togo and Nigeria
550	(West Africa). Tropical zoology, 28(1), 1-8.
551	Teixeira J, Ferrand N, Arntzen JW. 2001. Biogeography of the golden-striped salamander <i>Chioglossa</i>
552	lusitanica: A field survey and spatial modelling approach. Ecography, 24, 618–624.
553	Todd, B. D., Halstead, B. J., Chiquoine, L. P., Peaden, J. M., Buhlmann, K. A., Tuberville, T. D., &
554	Nafus, M. G. (2016). Habitat selection by juvenile Mojave Desert tortoises. Journal of
555	Wildlife Management, 80(4), 720-728.
556	Turtle Taxonomy Working Group. (2017). Turtles of the World: Annotated Checklist and Atlas of
557	Taxonomy, Synonymy, Distribution, and Conservation Status (8th Ed.). In: Rhodin, A.G.J.,
558	Iverson, J.B., van Dijk, P.P., Saumure, R.A., Buhlmann, K.A., Pritchard, P.C.H., and
559	Mittermeier, R.A. (Eds.). Conservation Biology of Freshwater Turtles and Tortoises: A
560	Compilation Project of the IUCN/SSC Tortoise and Freshwater Turtle Specialist Group.
561	Chelonian Research Monographs, 7: 1–292. doi:10.3854/crm.7.checklist.atlas.v8.2017.
562	Vetter, H. (2005). Leopard- and African Spurred Tortoise Stigmochelys pardalis and Centrochelys
563	sulcata. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt-am-Main, Germany.
564	Watkins, A. F., McWhirter, J. L., & King, C. M. (2010). Variable detectability in long-term population
565	surveys of small mammals. European Journal of Wildlife Research. 56(3). 261-274.

Weatherby, R. 1996. The South African mountain tortoise (*Geochelone pardalis*) – home range,
 movement patterns, and site fidelity. In: SOPTOM (ed.), Proceedings of the International
 Congress of Chelonian Conservation, pp. 118-120. Editions SOPTOM, Gonfaron, France.
 Willemsen, R. E. & Hailey, A. (2001). Variation in adult survival rate of the tortoise *Testudo hermanni* in Greece: implications for the evolution of body size. *Journal of Zoology*, 255,
 43–53.

572 Figure 1. The two study species in South Sudan: Stigmochelys pardalis juvenile (a) and adult (b) (photos 573 by L. Luiselli); adult Kinixys belliana (c) (photo by G. S. Demaya); and shell of Stigmochelys pardalis 574 (photo by J. S. Benansio) 575 576 Figure 2. The three cover types of vegetation taller than 2 m at the study areas in South Sudan : 1) 577 0-30% (photos (a) and (b); 2) 31-60% (photo (c)); 3) >60% (photo (d)). (All photos by G. S. Demaya) 578 579 Figure 3. Distribution of Stigmochelys pardalis (a) and Kinixys belliana (b) presence points in South 580 Sudan, and randomly-generated points used for data analysis in the present paper 581 582 Figure 4. Percentage of tortoise sighting spots with presence of cattle and presence of water-body within 583 200m radius. For the statistical details, see the text. 584 585 Figure 5. Correlation between rainfall (expressed in terms of number of rainy days per month) and number 586 of tortoises observed in the wild (Kinixys belliana and Stigmochelys pardalis pooled) at the study area in 587 South Sudan.